UpdateDumps



Choose the version that fits your needs	PDF Version	Desktop Test Engine	Online Test Engine
Latest and Up-to-Date exam dumps with real exam questions answers.	✓	✓	✓
Get 12-Months free updates without any extra charges.	✓	✓	✓
Experience same exam environment before appearing in the certification exam.	✗	✓	✓
100% exam passing guarante in the first attempt.	✓	✓	✓
20% discount on more than one license and 30% discount on 5+ license purchases.	✗	✓	✓
100% secure purchase on SSL.	✓	✓	✓
Completely private purchase without sharing your personal info with anyone.	✓	✓	✓

Exam : **JN0-694**

Title : Enterprise Routing and Switching Support, Professional (JNCSP-ENT)

Vendor : Juniper

Version : DEMO

NO.1 -- Exhibit -
(MSTI 2 regional root: 16386.2c:6b:f5:3e:f8:01)
{master:0}
user@switch> show spanning-tree interface
Spanning tree interface parameters for instance 0
Interface Port ID Designated Designated Port State Role
port ID bridge ID Cost
ge-0/0/6.0 128:519 128:519 16384.80711fbc 20000 BLK ALT
ge-0/0/9.0 128:522 128:522 53248.2c6bf591a441 20000 FWD DESG
ge-0/0/10.0 128:523 128:523 8192.80711fbe8110 20000 FWD ROOT
ge-0/0/12.0 128:525 128:525 49152.2c6bf53ef801 20000 BLK ALT
[...]
-- Exhibit --
Click the Exhibit button.
While troubleshooting an MSTP operation in your network, you see the output shown in the exhibit on one of your switches. You know that the MSTI 2 regional root bridge ID is 16386.2c:6b:f5:3e:f8:01.
Which port is attached to the root bridge of MSTI 2?
A. ge-0/0/6
B. ge-0/0/9
C. ge-0/0/10
D. ge-0/0/12
Answer: D

NO.2 -- Exhibit -user@router> show ospf database
Area 0.0.0.1 Type ID Adv Rtr Seq Age Opt Cksum Len Router 172.24.255.1 172.24.255.1 0x800000d4 182 0x22 0x59f3 36 Router 172.24.255.2 172.24.255.2 0x800000d4 177 0x22 0x57f2 36 Router *172.24.255.4 172.24.255.4 0x800000dc 176 0x22 0x75fa 72 Network 172.24.124.2 172.24.255.2 0x80000007 177 0x22 0x7957 36 Summary 172.24.13.0 172.24.255.1 0x80000004 2370 0x22 0x3f62 28 Summary 172.24.23.0 172.24.255.1 0x80000002 471 0x22 0xdeb9 28 Summary 172.24.255.1 172.24.255.1 0x800000cb 2037 0x22 0x2bbb 28 Summary 172.24.255.2 172.24.255.2 0x800000cc 487 0x22 0x19ca 28 Summary 172.24.255.3 172.24.255.1 0x80000003 140 0x22 0xb2f9 28 OSPF AS SCOPE link state database Type ID Adv Rtr Seq Age Opt Cksum Len Extern *1.47.82.0 172.24.255.4 0x80000002 1037 0x22 0x4225 36 Extern *100.0.0.0 172.24.255.4 0x80000001 2643 0x22 0xfc88 36
user@router> show ospf neighbor Address Interface State ID Pri Dead
172.24.124.2 ge-0/0/1.0 Full 172.24.255.2 128 36
172.24.124.1 ge-0/0/1.0 Full 172.24.255.1 128 30
user@router> show ospf interface ge-0/0/1.0 extensive Interface State Area DR ID BDR ID Nbrs ge-0/0/1.0 PtToPt 0.0.0.1 0.0.0.0 0.0.0.0 2 Type: P2MP, Address: 172.24.124.4, Mask: 255.255.255.0, MTU: 1500, Cost: 1 Adj count: 2 Hello: 10, DeaD. 40, ReXmit: 5, Not Stub Auth type: None Protection type: None Topology default (ID 0) -> Cost: 1
user@router> show route protocol ospf table inet.0
inet.0: 11133 destinations, 11135 routes (11133 active, 0 holddown, 0 hidden)
+ = Active Route, - = Last Active, * = Both
224.0.0.5/32 *[OSPF/10] 1w0d 00:01:14, metric 1 MultiRecv -- Exhibit -
Click the Exhibit button.
Referring to the exhibit, why are the OSPF routes missing from the routing table for this router?

A. mismatching OSPF interface type with the neighbor
B. MTU mismatch with the neighbor
C. incorrect IP address configured on the interface
D. no Type 4 LSAs in the OSPF database
Answer: A

NO.3 -- Exhibit -user@router# show class-of-service
classifiers {
inet-precedence ipp-test {
import default;
forwarding-class best-effort {
loss-priority low code-points be;
}
forwarding-class expedited-forwarding {
loss-priority low code-points af21;
}
forwarding-class assured-forwarding {
loss-priority low code-points af11;
}
forwarding-class network-control {
loss-priority low code-points nc1;
}
}
}
interfaces {
ge-* {
scheduler-map map-test;
unit * {
classifiers {
inet-precedence ipp-test;
}
rewrite-rules {
inet-precedence ipp-rw-test;
inet-precedence default;
}
}
}
}
...
rewrite-rules {
inet-precedence ipp-rw-test {
forwarding-class best-effort {
loss-priority low code-point be;
loss-priority high code-point af21;
}
forwarding-class expedited-forwarding {

loss-priority high code-point af21;
loss-priority low code-point be;
}
forwarding-class assured-forwarding {
loss-priority low code-point af11;
loss-priority high code-point af11;
}
forwarding-class network-control {
loss-priority low code-point nc1;
loss-priority high code-point nc1;
}
}
}
user@router> show class-of-service ... Code point type: inet-precedence Alias Bit pattern af11 001 af21 010 af31 011 af41 100 be 000 cs6 110 cs7 111 ef 101 nc1 110 nc2 111 -- Exhibit -
Click the Exhibit button.
Traffic with the IP precedence value af21 ingresses the router and should be rewritten with the same value as it egresses; however, this traffic is rewritten to a different value.
Referring to the exhibit, what is the source of this problem?
A. The BA classifier is assigning the traffic to the best-effort queue with a high loss priority.
B. The BA classifier is assigning the traffic to the best-effort queue with a low loss priority.
C. The BA classifier is assigning the traffic to the expedited forwarding queue with a high loss priority.
D. The BA classifier is assigning the traffic to the expedited forwarding queue with a low loss priority.
Answer: D

NO.4 An end user on interface ge-0/0/1.0 is trying to receive a multicast stream for 232.0.0.1 sourced from but is not receiving it. You use the show igmp group command and do not see this group in the list. You enable traceoptions for IGMP and find the following IGMPv3 report from the end user's host:
Jun 10 13:11:03.577641 RCV IGMP V3 Report len 16 from 192.168.1.13 intf ge-0/0/1.0
Jun 10 13:11:03.577984 Records 1
Jun 10 13:11:03.578027 Group 232.0.0.1, type IS_EX, aux_len 0, sources 0
Which configuration change is required to allow the group to be added in the router?
A. set routing-options multicast ssm-groups 232.0.0.1/32
B. set routing-options multicast asm-override-ssm
C. set protocols igmp interface ge-0/0/1.0 promiscuous-mode
D. set protocols igmp interface ge-0/0/1.0 group-limit 2
Answer: B

NO.5 -- Exhibit --
user@switch# show vlans
ws {
vlan-id 23;
interface {
ge-0/0/12.0;
ge-0/0/6.0;
} dot1q-tunneling; no-mac-learning; } -- Exhibit -
Click the Exhibit button.

Referring to the exhibit, an administrator notices that all traffic is flooded out of all the ports in VLAN ws.
What would cause this problem?
A. no-mac-learning is enabled on the interface.
B. Spanning tree is disabled.
C. dot1q-tunneling is enabled on the VLAN.
D. Unicast destinations are flooded out of all ports.
Answer: A